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#### Abstract

Existence and conditional-smooth solution of the Navier-Stokes equation is one of the most important problems in mathematics of the century, which describes the motion of viscous Newtonian fluid and which is a basic of hydrodynamic[1]. Therefore in this work we solve a nonstationary problem Navier-Sto kes for incompressible fluid.
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## 1. Introduction

If to des ignate components of vectors of speed and external force, as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)=\left[v_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}, t), v_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}, t), v_{3}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\right] \\
\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)=\left[f_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}, t), f_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}, t), f_{3}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\right]
\end{array}
$$

that for each value $i=1,2,3$ turns out the corresponding scalar equation of Navier-Stokes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial t}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} v_{j} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}=f_{i}-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{i}}+\mu \Delta v_{i} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{div} v=0,\left((x, t) \in T=R^{3} \times\left[0, T_{0}\right]\right)  \tag{1.2}\\
\left.v_{i}\right|_{t=0}=v_{i 0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), \forall\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in R^{3} \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mu>0$ - kinematic viscosity, $\rho$-density, $\Delta$-Laplas's operator. The additional equation is the condition incompressibility fluid (2). Unknown are speed $\boldsymbol{v}$ and pressure $P$.

The work purpose. The main object of this work existence and proofs ofsingle and conditional smoothness of the decision of a problem Navier-Stokes for an incompressible fluid with viscosity.

Theoretical and practical value. Our problem does not include a derivation of an equation in a physical meaning, since there is a big amount of works reflecting these questions[2-4, 8-10]. The Received decisions on the basis of the developed analytical methods proves in the general

[^0]applicability of the equations of Navier-Stokes.
In a case $0<\mu<1$ the current is considered with very small viscosity. When the current is considered with very small viscosity i.e. when Reynolds's number is very great $(\mathbf{R e} \rightarrow \infty)[8,9]$ there is an border layer in which viscosity influence is concentrated. In many works in this area of the decision of the equations Navier-Stokes received by the numerical analysis, also confirm these conclusions.
And in a case $0<\mu=\mu_{0}=$ const $<+\infty$ the current is considered with average size of viscosity. At very slow currents, or in currents of is strong-viscous liquids of force of a friction much more, than forces of inertia. Hence convective the acceleration doing the equations nonlinear, everywhere are supposed identically equally to a zero[9]. Therefore in a case when convective acceleration is not equal to zero problems connected with methods of integration of the equations of Navier-Stokes in their general view are arisen.
The decision of many problems of theoretical and mathematical physics leads to use of various special weight spaces. In works [5-7] for the first time have offered a method which gives solution of problem Navier-Stokes in $G_{\lambda}^{2}(T)$ :
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v \in G_{\lambda}^{2}(T)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right) \in T: v_{i} \in C^{3,0}(T)\right. \\
& v_{i t} \in L_{\lambda}^{2}\left(0, T_{0}\right),(i=\overline{1,3}), v_{i t}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)-
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

is continuous and limited functions on

$$
\left.\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in R^{3}, C^{3,3,3,0}(T) \equiv C^{3,0}(T)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\|v\|_{G_{\lambda}^{2}(T)}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{\tilde{D}_{\left(v_{i} ; \lambda\right)}^{2}(T)}  \tag{1.4}\\
\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{\tilde{D}_{\left(v_{i} ; \lambda\right)}^{2}(T)}=\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{C^{3,0}(T)}+\left\|v_{i t}\right\|_{L_{\lambda}^{2}}, i=\overline{1,3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|v_{i t}\right\|_{L_{\lambda}^{2}}=\left(\sup _{R^{3}}^{T_{0}} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \lambda(t)\left|v_{i t}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
0 \leq \lambda(t): \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \lambda(t) \frac{l}{t} d t=q_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

To answer the brought attention to the question, we offer the following method of the decision of a problem Navier-Stokes. For that the phrpose, system (1.1) we will transform to a kind

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{i t}+\theta_{i}=f_{i}-\frac{1}{\rho} P_{x_{i}}-\frac{1}{2} Q_{x_{i}}+\mu \Delta v_{i},(i=\overline{1,3}),(  \tag{1.5}\\
\theta_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(v_{j} v_{i x_{j}}-\frac{1}{2} Q_{x_{i}}\right),(i=\overline{1,3}),  \tag{1.6}\\
\left.\theta_{i}\right|_{t=0}=\theta_{i}^{0}\left(x_{l}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), \forall\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in R^{3},  \tag{1.7}\\
Q \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{3} v_{i}^{2}, Q_{x_{i}}=2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} v_{j} v_{j x_{i}},(i=\overline{1,3}),  \tag{1.8}\\
Q_{x_{i}}^{0}=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{3} v_{j 0}^{2}\right]_{x_{i}}=2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} v_{j 0} v_{j 0 x_{i}},
\end{gather*}
$$

without breaking equivalence of system (1.1) and (1.5), (1.6). The received systems (1.5), (1.6) contain unknown persons $v_{i}, \theta_{i},(i=\overline{1,3})$ and pressure P. Here $\theta_{i}^{0}$-known functions because are known $v_{j 0}, v_{j 0 x_{i}}$.
The developed method of the decision of systems (1.5) and (1.6), is connected with functions $\theta_{i},(i=\overline{1,3})$, i.e.
A) $\operatorname{rot} \tilde{\theta}=0, \tilde{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right) ; \operatorname{rot} v \neq 0$ or
B) $\theta_{i},(i=\overline{1,3})$ - any functions if, accordingly, as necessary conditions, take place:
a) $\left.\operatorname{rot} \tilde{\theta}^{0}=0, \tilde{\theta}^{0}=\left(\theta_{1}^{0}, \theta_{2}^{0}, \theta_{3}^{0}\right), \quad \mathrm{b}_{0}\right) \quad \tilde{\theta}^{0} \quad-\quad$ any functions.

## 2. A Problem of Navier-Stokes with a Condition (A)

In this paragraph in the subsequent points, at the specified restrictions on the entrance data, the strict substantiation of compatibility of systems (1.5), (1.6) will be given.

### 2.1. Research With a Condition (A)

Let functions $\theta_{i}^{0},\left(i=\overline{1,3)}\right.$ satisfy to a condition ( $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ ). Then relatively $\theta_{i},(i=\overline{1,3})$ we suppose a condition (A) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} f \neq 0,0<\mu<1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where from system (1.5) and (1.6), accordingly we will receive following systems

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{i t}+\theta_{x_{i}}+ & \frac{1}{2} Q_{x_{i}}=f_{i}-\frac{1}{\rho} P_{x_{i}}+\mu \Delta v_{i},(i=\overline{1,3})  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{i}=\theta_{x_{i}} \\
\theta_{x_{i}}
\end{array}=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(v_{j} v_{i x_{j}}-\frac{1}{2} Q_{x_{i}}\right),(i=\overline{1,3})\right. \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The orem 1. Let conditions (1.2), (1.3), (A) and (2.1) are satisfied. Then systems (2.2) and (2.3) it is equivalent will be transformed to a kind

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta J=-F_{0}, J \equiv \frac{1}{\rho} P+\frac{1}{2} Q+\theta, F_{0} \equiv-\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i x_{i}}, \\
v_{i t}=f_{i}+\mu \Delta v_{i}-J_{x_{i}}, \\
\Delta \theta=-\psi^{0}, \psi^{0} \equiv-\sum_{i=1}^{3} \psi_{i x_{i}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right), \\
\frac{1}{\rho} P=-\frac{1}{2} Q-\theta+  \tag{2.4}\\
+\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{R^{3}} F_{0}\left(s_{l}, s_{2}, s_{3}, t\right) \frac{d s_{l} d s_{2} d s_{3}}{r}, \\
r=\sqrt{\left(x_{1}-s_{l}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}-s_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{3}-s_{3}\right)^{2}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, the problem (1.1) - (1.3) has the unique decision which satisfies to a condition (1.2).

Proof. From system (2.2) it is visible, if the 1 -equation $(2.2, i=1)$ it is differentiated on $x_{1}$, 2 -equation on $x_{2}(2.2, i=2)$, 3 -equation on $x_{3}(2.2, i=3)$, and it is summarised, we will receive the equation of Puasson[10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta J=-F_{0}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(v_{l x_{l}}+v_{2 x_{2}}+v_{3 x_{3}}\right)+\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2} Q+\theta+\frac{1}{\rho} P\right)= \\
=\sum_{i=l}^{3} f_{i x_{i}}+\mu \sum_{i=l}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}\left(v_{l x_{l}}+v_{2 x_{2}}+v_{3 x_{3}}\right) \\
\operatorname{div} v=0, \operatorname{div} f=-F_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

At that it is proved

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{R^{3}} F_{0}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3} ; t\right) \frac{d s_{1} d s_{2} d s_{3}}{r} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{x_{i}}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{R^{3}} \tau_{i} F_{0}\left(x_{1}+\tau_{1}, x_{2}+\tau_{2}, x_{3}+\tau_{3} ; t\right) \times \\
& \times \frac{d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2} d \tau_{3}}{\sqrt{\left(\tau_{1}{ }^{2}+\tau_{2}{ }^{2}+\tau_{3}^{2}\right)^{3}}},\left(s_{i}-x_{i}=\tau_{i}, i=\overline{1,3}\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Algorithm when we will receive the equation of Puasson (2.5) for brevity we name «algorithm puassonization
systems».
In work of Sobolev[10] it is specified that function (2.6) satisfies to the equation (2.5) and is called Newtons' potential.

Therefore, if $J$ - the decision of the equation (2.5), then substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{x_{i}} \overline{\overline{\bar{x}}} \frac{1}{\rho} P_{x_{i}}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{x_{i}}+\theta_{i} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i t}=f_{i}+\mu \Delta v_{i}-J_{i},\left(i=\overline{1,3} ; J_{x_{i}} \equiv J_{i}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. system (2.2) it is equivalent by (2.9) will be transformed to a kind linear the nonuniform equation of heat conductivity. The equations (2.5), (2.9) is there are first and second equations ofsystem (2.4).

The system (2.9) is solved by S.L.Sobolev's method:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{i}=\frac{1}{8(\sqrt{\pi \mu t})^{3}} \int_{R^{3}} \exp \left(-\frac{r^{2}}{4 \mu t}\right) v_{i 0}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \times \\
& \times d s_{1} d s_{2} d s_{3}+\frac{1}{8 \sqrt{\pi^{3}}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R^{3}} \exp \left(-\frac{r^{2}}{4 \mu(t-s)}\right) \times \\
& \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\mu(t-s))^{3}}}\left[f_{i}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s\right)-J_{i}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s\right)\right] \times
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\times d s_{1} d s_{2} d s_{3} d s \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi^{3}}} \int_{R^{3}} \exp \left(-\left(\tau_{1}^{2}+\tau_{2}^{2}+\tau_{3}^{2}\right)\right) \times
$$

$$
\times v_{i 0}\left(x_{1}+2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{\mu t}, x_{2}+2 \tau_{2} \sqrt{\mu t}, x_{3}+2 \tau_{3} \sqrt{\mu t}\right) \times
$$

$$
\times d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2} d \tau_{3}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi^{3}}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R^{3}} \exp \left(-\left(\tau_{1}^{2}+\tau_{2}^{2}+\tau_{3}^{2}\right)\right) \times
$$

$$
\times\left[f _ { i } \left(x_{1}+2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)}, x_{2}+2 \tau_{2} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)},\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.x_{3}+2 \tau_{3} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)} ; s\right)-J_{i}\left(x_{1}+2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)},\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left.x_{2}+2 \tau_{2} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)}, x_{3}+2 \tau_{3} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)} ; s\right)\right] \times
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2} d \tau_{3} d s \equiv H_{i}, i=\overline{1,3} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
s_{i}-x_{i}=\tau_{i} 2 \sqrt{\mu t} \text { or } s_{i}-x_{i}=\tau_{i} 2 \sqrt{\mu(t-s)}
$$

All $H_{i}$ - is known functions and

$$
v_{i x_{j}},(i=\overline{1,3}, j=\overline{1,3})
$$

are defined from system (2.10):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{i x_{j}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi^{3}}} \int_{R^{3}} \exp \left(-\left(\tau_{1}^{2}+\tau_{2}^{2}+\tau_{3}^{2}\right)\right) v_{i 0 x_{j}}\left(x_{1}+\right. \\
& \left.+2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{\mu t}, x_{2}+2 \tau_{2} \sqrt{\mu t}, x_{3}+2 \tau_{3} \sqrt{\mu t}\right) d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2} d \tau_{3}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi^{3}}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R^{3}} \exp \left(-\left(\tau_{1}^{2}+\tau_{2}^{2}+\tau_{3}^{2}\right)\right)\left[f _ { i x _ { j } } \left(x_{1}+2 \tau_{1} \times\right.\right. \\
& \times \sqrt{\mu(t-s)}, x_{2}+2 \tau_{2} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)}, x_{3}+2 \tau_{3} \times \\
& \times \sqrt{\mu(t-s)} ; s)-J_{i x_{j}}\left(x_{1}+2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)}, x_{2}+\right. \\
& \left.\left.+2 \tau_{2} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)}, x_{3}+2 \tau_{3} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)} ; s\right)\right] \times \\
& \quad \times d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2} d \tau_{3} d s \equiv H_{i x_{j}}, i=\overline{1,3}, j=\overline{1,3} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, on the basis of (2.3), (2.10) and (2.11), and their private derivatives on $x_{i}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{x_{i}}=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(H_{j} \cdot H_{i x_{j}}-H_{j} \cdot H_{j x_{i}}\right) \equiv \psi_{i}, i=\overline{1,3} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\psi_{i}$ - is known functions, hence from system (2.12) differentiating 1 equation on $x_{I}[(2.12): i=1], 2$ equations on $\left.x_{2}(2.12): i=2\right], 3$ equations on $x_{3}[(2.12): i=3]$, and summarising, we will receive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \theta=-\psi^{0}, \psi^{0} \equiv-\sum_{i=1}^{3} \psi_{i x_{i}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

at that

$$
\theta \in C^{2}(T): \theta=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{R^{3}} \psi^{0}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, t\right) \frac{d s_{1} d s_{2} d s_{3}}{r}
$$

The equation (2.13) is the third equation of system (2.4). Therefore, from the received results, taking into account (2.6), follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\rho} P=-\theta-\frac{1}{2} Q+a \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{R^{3}} F_{0}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, t\right) \frac{d s_{1} d s_{2} d s_{3}}{r} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. functions $v_{i}, \theta, P$ are defined from systems (2.10), (2.13), (2.14).

Uniqueness is obvious, as a method by contradiction from (2.10) uniqueness of the decision follows $v_{i} \in ?^{3,0} T$ ), $i=\overline{1,3}$. Results (2.10) with a condition ((A), (2.1)) are received where s moothness of functions $v_{i}$ is required only on $x_{i}$ as the derivative of 1st order in time has feature in $t=0$. Then taking into account (2.10), (2.13), (2.14) and the system (2.4) has the unique continuous decision.

Further, considering private derivatives of 1st order

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x_{i}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left\{H_{i}\right\}, i=\overline{1,3} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and summarising (2.15) with taking into account (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi^{3}}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R^{3}} \exp \left[-\left(\tau_{1}^{2}+\tau_{2}^{2}+\tau_{3}^{2}\right)\right]\left\{-F_{0}\left[x_{1}+\right.\right. \\
& +2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)}, x_{2}+2 \tau_{2} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)}, x_{3}+ \\
& \left.+2 \tau_{3} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)} ; s\right]-\Delta J\left[x_{1}+2 \tau_{1} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)},\right. \\
& \left.\left.x_{2}+2 \tau_{2} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)}, x_{3}+2 \tau_{3} \sqrt{\mu(t-s)} ; s\right]\right\} \times \\
& \times d \tau_{l} d \tau_{2} d \tau_{3} d s=0, \\
& \text { as } \quad \Delta J=-F_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Means, the system (2.10) satisfies to the equation (1.2).
2.2. Limitation of Functions $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ in $G_{\lambda}^{2}(T)$

The limiting case which we will consider concerns results of the theorem 1. Then the decision of system (1.1) is representing in the form of (2.10) with conditions (1.2), (1.3), (A), (2.1) and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{i}: \sup _{R^{3}}^{T_{0}} \int_{0}\left|D^{k} f_{i}\left(x_{l}, x_{2}, x_{3}, s\right)\right| d s \leq \beta_{l}, \\
\sup _{T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi^{3}}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R^{3}} \exp \left(-\left(\tau_{l}^{2}+\tau_{2}^{2}+\tau_{3}^{2}\right) \times\right. \\
\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left|\tau_{j}\right| \times\left|f_{i l_{j}}\left(l_{l}, l_{2}, l_{3} ; s\right)\right| d s \leq \beta_{2}, \\
\left(\sup _{R^{3}}^{T_{0}} \int_{0} \lambda(s)\left|f_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, s\right)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \beta_{3}, \\
J_{x_{i}} \equiv J_{i}: \sup _{R^{3}}^{T_{0}} \int_{0}\left|D^{k} J_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, s\right)\right| d s \leq \beta_{4}, \\
\left(\sup _{R^{3}}^{T_{0}} \int_{0} \lambda(s)\left|J_{i}\left(x_{l}, x_{2}, x_{3}, s\right)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \beta_{5},  \tag{2.16}\\
\sup _{T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi^{3}}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R^{3}}^{t} \exp \left(-\left(\tau_{l}^{2}+\tau_{2}^{2}+\tau_{3}^{2}\right) \times\right. \\
\times \frac{l}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sum_{j=l}^{3}\left|\tau_{j}\right| \times\left|J_{i l_{j}}\left(l_{l}, l_{2}, l_{3} ; s\right)\right| d s \leq \beta_{6}, \\
v_{i 0}: \sup _{R^{3}}\left|D^{k} v_{i 0}\right| \leq \beta_{7},(i=1,2,3 ; j=1,2,3 ; \\
k=0, l, 2,3), l_{j}=x_{j}+2 \tau_{j} \sqrt{\mu(t-s),} \\
\beta=\max _{l \leq i \leq 7} \beta_{i} ; \beta_{0}=\beta\left(3 \sqrt{\mu q_{0}}+2+2 \sqrt{\mu q_{l}}\right), \\
T_{0} \\
\int_{0} \lambda(t) d t=q_{1}, \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \lambda(t) \frac{l}{t} d t=q_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Really, estimating (2.10) in $G_{\lambda}^{2}(T)$,we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\|v\|_{G_{\lambda}^{2}(T)} \leq 3\left[N_{l}+\beta_{0}\right]=M^{*}, \\
\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{C^{3,0}(T)}=\sum_{0 \leq k \mid k 3}\left\|D^{k} v_{i}\right\|_{C(T)} \leq N_{l}=60 \beta,
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\left(\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{C(T)} \leq 3 \beta, \quad\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{4}+\beta_{7} \leq 3 \beta\right), i=\overline{1,3},\right.
$$

$$
\left\|v_{i t}\right\|_{L_{\lambda}^{2}} \leq \beta\left(3 \sqrt{\mu q_{0}}+2+2 \sqrt{\mu q_{1}}\right)=\beta_{0}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=0: D^{0} v_{i} \equiv v_{i} ; k \neq 0: D^{k} v_{i}= \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$=\frac{\partial^{|k|} v_{i}}{\partial x_{1}{ }^{\alpha_{1}} \partial x_{2}{ }^{\alpha_{2}} \partial x_{3}{ }^{\alpha_{3}}},|k|=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_{i},\left(\alpha_{i}=\overline{0,3}\right)$.
Theorem 2. In the conditions of the theorem 1 and (2.16), (2.17) the problem (1.1) - (1.3) has the unique decision in $G_{\lambda}^{2}(T)$.

## 3. The Decision of a Problem of Navier-Stokes with a Condition (B)

Here we investigate a case (B) when $\theta_{i},(i=\overline{1,3)}$ containing convective members of a problem of Navier-Stokes are any. Results of the theorem 1 are not applicable.
Therefore, for the decision of a problem (1.1) - (1.3) we offer following algorithms.

### 3.1. Problem Navier- Stokes with Average Viscosity

Let conditions (1.2), (1.3) are satisfied and:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, 0\right)=v_{i 0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \equiv V_{i}^{0}(\xi),  \tag{3.1}\\
\xi=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} x_{i} ; R \ni \gamma_{i}: \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i}=0, k=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

at that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{i} \equiv V_{i}(\xi, t),(i=\overline{1,3}),  \tag{3.2}\\
V_{i}(\xi, 0)=V_{i}^{0}(\xi) ; \operatorname{div} f \neq 0,0<\mu=\mu_{0}, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} V_{\xi^{m}}(\xi, t)=0,(m=\overline{1,3}) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then on the basis of functions $V_{i}(\xi, t),(i=\overline{1,3})$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{x_{i}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=\gamma_{i} P_{\xi}(\xi, t), \\
v_{i t}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=V_{i t}(\xi, t),(i=\overline{l, 3}), \\
v_{i x_{j}}\left(x_{l}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=\gamma_{j} V_{i \xi}(\xi, t), \\
v_{i x_{j}^{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=\gamma_{j}^{2} V_{i \xi^{2}}(\xi, t) ; \mu \Delta v_{i}=\mu k V_{i \xi^{2}},
\end{array}\right.
$$

system (1.1) it is equivalent will be transformed to a kind

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L V_{i} \equiv V_{i t}(\xi, t)+Z(\xi, t) \times V_{i \xi}(\xi, t)=  \tag{3.3}\\
=f_{i}(\xi, t)-\frac{1}{\rho} \gamma_{i} P_{\xi}(\xi, t)+k \mu V_{i \xi^{2}},(i=\overline{1,3}), \\
Z(\xi, t) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} V_{i}(\xi, t) ; Z_{\xi}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the specified systems unknown persons contain $V_{i}, P$.
Remark 1. Under regular in

$$
D_{0}=\left\{(\xi, t): \xi \in R, 0<t \leq T_{0}\right\}
$$

the decision we understand the decision $V_{i}, i=1,2,3$ the equation (1.1) in $D_{0}$, which has a continuous derivative on $\xi$ to the third order inclusive and continuous derivative on $t(t>0)$.
From system (3.3), considering conditions (3.2), and having entered «algorithm puassonization systems», i.e. differentiating the equations of system (3.3) $\times \gamma_{i}$ accordingly on $\xi$ and, then summarising, we have the equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\rho} P_{\xi^{2}}=F_{0}(\xi, t) \equiv \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} f_{i \xi}(\xi, t),  \tag{3.4}\\
\left.P_{\xi}^{(n)}(\xi, t)\right|_{\xi \rightarrow \infty}=0,(n=0,1) \\
\left.\xi F_{l}(\xi, t)\right|_{\xi \rightarrow \infty}=0,\left(F_{l}(\xi, t) \equiv \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} f_{i}(\xi, t)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, we will receive

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\rho} P=\int_{\xi}^{+\infty}(\eta-\xi) \frac{l}{k} \sum_{i=l}^{3} \gamma_{i} f_{i \eta}(\eta, t) d \eta= \\
=-\int_{\xi}^{+\infty} \frac{l}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} f_{i}(\eta, t) d \eta=-\int_{\xi}^{+\infty} F_{l}(\eta, t) d \eta \\
\frac{1}{\rho} P_{\xi}=F_{l}(\xi, t) ; \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} F_{l}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Really on a basis[(3.3): $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \gamma_{i} \times(3.3)\right]$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\left(L V_{i}\right)(\xi, t)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} f_{i \xi}(\xi, t)- \\
-\frac{1}{\rho} k P_{\xi^{2}}(\xi, t)+k \mu \sum_{\xi=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} V_{i \xi^{3}}, \\
Z_{\xi}(\xi, t)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then we have the following (3.4).

Further, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V_{i t}+Z \times V_{i \xi}=\Phi_{i}+k \mu V_{i \xi^{2}}, i=\overline{l, 3}  \tag{3.6}\\
\Phi_{i}(\xi, t) \equiv f_{i}(\xi, t)-\gamma_{i} F_{l}(\xi, t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

or for consideration of unknown functions $V_{i}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\tau^{2}\right) V_{i}^{0}(\xi+2 \tau \sqrt{\alpha t}) d t+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \times \\
& \times \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\tau^{2}\right) \Phi_{i}(\xi+2 \tau \sqrt{\alpha(t-s)} ; s) d \tau d s+ \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\tau^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_{j} V_{j}(\xi+2 \tau \times\right. \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$\times \sqrt{\alpha s} ; t-s)) \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\alpha s}} V_{i}(\xi+2 \tau \sqrt{\alpha s} ; t-s) \times$
$\times d \tau d s \equiv D_{i}\left[V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right],(i=\overline{1,3} ; \alpha=k \mu)$,
as here consider a method integration in parts

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1)-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R}\left(\exp \left(-\frac{(\xi-\eta)^{2}}{4 \mu k\left(t-s^{\prime}\right)}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu k\left(t-s^{\prime}\right)}} \times \\
\times\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_{j} V_{j}\left(\eta, s^{\prime}\right)\right) \times V_{i \eta}\left(\eta, s^{\prime}\right) d \eta d s^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \times \\
\times \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\tau^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_{j} V_{j}(\xi+2 \tau \sqrt{\mu k s} ; t-s)\right) \times \\
\times \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\mu k s}} V_{i}(\xi+2 \tau \sqrt{\mu k s} ; t-s) d \tau d s, \\
\left(\eta-\xi=2 \tau \sqrt{\left.\mu k\left(t-s^{\prime}\right) ; t-s^{\prime}=s\right),}\right. \\
Z_{\eta}\left(\eta, s^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_{j} V_{j \eta}\left(\eta, s^{\prime}\right)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

and
2) $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi \mu k t}} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\frac{(\xi-\eta)^{2}}{4 \mu k t}\right) V_{i}^{0}(\eta) d \eta+$
$+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu k(t-s)}} \exp \left(-\frac{(\xi-\eta)^{2}}{4 \mu k(t-s)}\right) \times$
$\left\{\times \Phi_{i}(\eta, s) d \eta d s=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\tau^{2}\right) V_{i}^{0}(\xi+\right.$
$+2 \tau \sqrt{\mu k t}) d t+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\tau^{2}\right) \Phi_{i}(\xi+$
$+2 \tau \sqrt{\mu k(t-s)} ; s) d \tau d s$,
$(\eta-\xi=2 \tau \sqrt{\mu k t}$ or $\eta-\xi=2 \tau \sqrt{\mu k(t-s)}$.

If functions $V_{i}, i=\overline{1,3}$ are system decisions (3.7) thus takes place (3.2) and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\left.\begin{array}{l}
\forall(\xi, t) \in \bar{D}_{0}=\left\{(\xi, t): \xi \in R, 0 \leq t \leq T_{0}\right\}, \\
\Phi_{i}, V_{i}^{0}: \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \\
\sup \\
\bar{D}_{0}
\end{array} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\tau^{2}\right) \right\rvert\, \Phi_{i l^{k}}^{(k)}(\xi+2 \tau \times\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\times \sqrt{\alpha(t-s)} ; s) \mid d \tau d s \leq \beta_{l}, \\
\Phi_{i l^{0}}^{(0)} \equiv \Phi_{i} ;(\xi, t) \in \bar{D}_{0}:\left|V_{i}(\xi, t)\right| \leq r_{0}, \\
\sup _{\bar{D}_{0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\tau^{2}\right)|\tau| \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sum_{j=l}^{3}\left|\gamma_{j}\right| \times \\
\times r_{0} d \tau d s \leq \beta_{2} r_{0}, \\
\sup _{R}\left|V_{i}^{0(k)}\right| \leq \beta_{3},\left(i=\overline{1,3} ; k_{0}=\overline{0,3}\right), \\
l=\xi+2 \tau \sqrt{\alpha(t-s)} ; \beta=\max _{1 \leq i \leq 3} \beta_{i}, \\
\alpha=k \mu ; 0<\mu=\mu_{0}, \\
d=\sum_{i=l}^{3} d_{i}<1,\left(d_{i}=2 \beta r_{0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}, i=\overline{1,3}\right),
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

that $V_{i} \in C^{3,0}\left(\bar{D}_{0}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\|V_{i}(\xi, t)\right\|_{C}:  \tag{3.9}\\
E \leq(1-d)^{-1} 6 \beta=M_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the solution of this system (3.7) we can find on the basis of Pikard's method

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i, n+1}=D_{i}\left[V_{1, n}, V_{2, n}, V_{3, n}\right], n=0,1, \ldots,(i=\overline{1,3}), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{i, 0}, i=\overline{1,3} \quad$ - initial estimates and at that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\forall(\xi, t) \in \bar{D}_{0}, V_{i}:\left|V_{i}-V_{i, 0}\right| \leq r=\text { const },  \tag{3.11}\\
E_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\|V_{i}-V_{i, 0}\right\|, E_{n+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\|V_{i}-V_{i, n+1}\right\|, \\
E_{n+1} \leq d^{n+1} E_{0} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d<1} 0, \\
V_{i, n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d<1} V_{i} \equiv H_{i}^{0} \in C^{3,0}\left(\bar{D}_{0}\right),(i=\overline{1,3}) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The orem 3. Under conditions (2), (3), (3.2), (3.8) problem Navier-Stokes has the unique continuous decision.
Definition 1. The generalised decision a problems (1.1)-(1.3), (3.2) in area $D_{0}$ we name any continuous in $\bar{D}_{0}$ equation decision (3.7), when $0<\mu=\mu_{0}$.
3.2. We will Consider a Fluid with Very S mall Viscosity

Let conditions (1.2), (1.3), (3.1) are satisfied and:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{i} \equiv V_{i}(\xi, t)+K_{0}(\xi, t),(i=\overline{1,3}), \\
K_{0}(\xi, t) \equiv \sqrt{(\mu k t)^{3}} \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right), \\
V_{i}(\xi, 0)=V_{i}^{0}(\xi),
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{div} f \neq 0 ; 0<\mu<1 ;\left.P_{\xi}(\xi, t)\right|_{\xi \rightarrow \infty}=0, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} V_{i \xi^{m}}^{(m)}(\xi, t)=0,(m=\overline{0,2}), \forall(\xi, t) \in \bar{D}_{0}, \\
V_{i \xi^{0}}^{(0)} \equiv V_{i},\left(m=0: Z \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} V_{i}=0\right), \\
\tilde{V}=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right), \tilde{V}^{0}=\left(V_{1}^{0}, V_{2}^{0}, V_{3}^{0}\right),
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

at that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{x_{i}}\left(x_{l}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=\gamma_{i} P_{\xi}(\xi, t), \\
v_{i t}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=V_{i t}(\xi, t)+\sqrt{(\mu k t)^{3}} \frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t^{2}} \times \\
\times \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right)+\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{(\mu k)^{3} t} \times \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right), \\
v_{i x_{j}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=\gamma_{j} V_{i \xi}(\xi, t)-\sqrt{(\mu k t)^{3}} \gamma_{j} \times \\
\times \frac{2 \xi}{4 k \mu t} \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right), \\
v_{i x_{j}^{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=\gamma_{j}^{2} V_{i \xi^{2}}(\xi, t)+\sqrt{(\mu k t)^{3}} \gamma_{j}^{2} \times \\
\times \frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k^{2} \mu^{2} t^{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right)-\gamma_{j}^{2} \sqrt{(\mu k)^{3} t} \times \\
\times \frac{1}{2 k \mu} \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right), \\
\mu \Delta v_{i}=\mu k V_{i \xi^{2}}+\sqrt{(\mu k t)^{3}} \frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t^{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right)- \\
-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\mu k)^{3} t} \times \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right), \\
\sum_{j=1}^{3} v_{j} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}=\left[\sum _ { j = 1 } ^ { 3 } \gamma _ { j } \left(V_{i}(\xi, t)+\sqrt{(\mu k t)^{3}} \times\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\times \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right)\right)\right] \times\left[V_{i \xi}(\xi, t)-\sqrt{(\mu k t)^{3}} \frac{2 \xi}{4 k \mu t} \times\right. \\
\left.\times \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right)\right]=0,(i=\overline{1,3 ; Z=0) .}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then on the basis of functions $V_{i}(\xi, t),(i=\overline{1,3})$, system (1.1) it is equivalent will be transformed to a kind:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
L V_{i} \equiv V_{i t}+2 \sqrt{(\mu k)^{3} t} \times \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right)= \\
=f_{i}(\xi, t)-\frac{1}{\rho} \gamma_{i} P_{\xi}(\xi, t)+k \mu V_{i \xi^{2}},(i=\overline{l, 3}) \tag{3.13}
\end{array}
$$

in the specified systems unknown persons contain $V_{i}, P$. Therefore

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{l}{\rho} P_{\xi}(\xi, t)=F_{l} \equiv \frac{l}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} f_{i}(\xi, t)  \tag{3.14}\\
\frac{l}{\rho} P=-\int_{\xi}^{+\infty} F_{l}(\eta, t) d \eta, \forall(\xi, t) \in \bar{D}_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} V_{i}\right)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} \sqrt{(\mu k)^{3} t} \times \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} f_{i}(\xi, t)-\frac{1}{\rho} k P_{\xi}(\xi, t)+k \mu \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} V_{i \xi^{2}},(i=\overline{1,3}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4. Let functions $V_{i}$ are system decisions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V_{i t}(\xi, t)=\Phi_{i}(\xi, t)+k \mu V_{i \xi^{2}},(i=\overline{1,3}),  \tag{3.15}\\
\Phi_{i} \equiv f_{i}-\gamma_{i} F_{l}-2 \sqrt{t(\mu k)^{3}} \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{i}(\xi, t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\tau^{2}\right) V_{i}^{0}(\xi+2 \tau \sqrt{\alpha t}) d \tau+ \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\tau^{2}\right) \Phi_{i}(\xi+2 \tau \sqrt{\alpha(t-s)} ; s) d \tau d s \equiv \\
& \quad \equiv H_{i}(\xi, t), i=\overline{1,3} ; \alpha=k \mu, \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where known functions and $H_{i} \in G_{\lambda}^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\|\tilde{V}\|_{G_{\lambda}^{2}(D)}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\left\|V_{i}\right\|_{C^{3,0}}+\left\|V_{i t}\right\|_{L_{\lambda}^{2}}\right) \leq M \\
\left\|V_{i}\right\|_{C^{3,0}}=\sum_{k=0}^{3}\left\|H_{i \xi^{m}}^{(m)}\right\|_{C} \leq M_{1} \\
\left\|V_{i t}\right\|_{L_{\lambda}^{2}}=\left(\sup _{R} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \lambda(s)\left|H_{i t}(\xi, s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M_{2} \\
\left(\sup _{\bar{D}_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} \lambda(s)\left|\Phi_{i}(\xi, s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M_{3} \\
H_{i \xi^{0}}^{(0)} \equiv H_{i},(i=\overline{1,3} ; k=\overline{0,3}) \\
q_{0}=\int_{0}^{T_{0}} \lambda(t) \frac{1}{t} d t, q_{1}=\int_{0}^{T_{0}} \lambda(t) d t
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 5. If functions $V_{i}, P, i=\overline{1,3}$ are system decisions (3.14), (3.15), (3.10) that (3.1) is the decision of $\operatorname{system}(1.1)$ in $G_{\lambda}^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}=H_{i}(\xi, t)+\sqrt{(\mu k t)^{3}} \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. In particular, if conditions (1.2), (1.3), (3.12) and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
K_{0}(\xi, t) \equiv 0:  \tag{3.18}\\
v_{i}=V_{i}(\xi, t), i=\overline{1,3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

are satisfied. Then takes place

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i t}=f_{i}(\xi, t)-\frac{1}{\rho} \gamma_{i} P_{\xi}+\mu k V_{i \xi^{2}}, i=\overline{l, 3} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From here, considering (3.14), (3.15) we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\rho} P=-\int_{\xi}^{\infty} F_{l}(\eta, t) d \eta  \tag{3.20}\\
V_{i t}=\Phi_{i}+\mu k V_{i \xi^{2}}, \\
\Phi_{i}(\xi, t) \equiv f_{i}(\xi, t)-\gamma_{i} F_{l}(\xi, t), i=\overline{1,3} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} F_{l}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence all conditions of the theorem 4 and 5 are satisfied.
Example 1. The specified method of the theorem 5 can be used in par ticular and for the decision on a problem (1.1)-(1.3) as a test examp le, when takes place:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{i, 0} \equiv \lambda_{i} V^{0}(\xi), i=\overline{l, 3}, \\
v_{i}=\lambda_{i} V(\xi, t)+K_{0}(\xi, t) \equiv \Omega_{i}(\xi, t), \\
K_{0}(\xi, t) \equiv \sqrt{(\mu k t)^{3}} \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right), \\
\xi=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} x_{i}, 0<\lambda_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i}=0, \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{i}=0, \\
\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=1, \gamma_{3}=-2, \lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=3, \lambda_{3}=2, \\
k=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i}^{2}, d_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i},\left(k=6, d_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}=6\right), \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} v_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[\lambda_{i} \gamma_{i} V_{\xi}+\gamma_{i} K_{0 \xi}\right]=0, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} \Omega_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[\lambda_{i} \gamma_{i} V(\xi, t)+\gamma_{i} K_{0}(\xi, t)\right]=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{i} V_{t}+2 \sqrt{(\mu k)^{3} t} \times \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right)=f_{i}(\xi, t)-  \tag{3.21}\\
& -\frac{1}{\rho} \gamma_{i} P_{\xi}+\mu k \lambda_{i} V_{\xi^{2}}, i=\overline{1,3}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V_{t}=\frac{1}{d_{0}} \Phi_{0}(\xi, t)+\mu k V_{\xi^{2}} \\
\frac{1}{\rho} P=-\int_{\xi}^{\infty} F_{l}(\eta, t) d \eta \\
\frac{1}{\rho} P_{\xi}=F_{l} \equiv \frac{l}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} f_{i} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} F_{l}=0 \\
F_{l}=\frac{1}{6}\left(f_{1}+f_{2}-2 f_{3}\right), \\
\Phi_{0} \equiv-6 \sqrt{(\mu k)^{3} t} \times \exp \left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{4 k \mu t}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\tau^{2}\right) V^{0}(\xi+2 \tau \sqrt{\mu k t}) d \tau+ \\
+\frac{1}{d_{0} \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R} \exp \left(-\tau^{2}\right) \Phi_{0}(\xi+2 \tau \sqrt{\mu k(t-s)} ; s) \times \\
\times d \tau d s \equiv H(\xi, t) \\
v_{i}=\lambda_{i} H(\xi, t)+K_{0}(\xi, t) \equiv H_{i}(\xi, t), i=\overline{l, 3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 3. As $\tilde{V}^{0}(\xi) \in C^{3}(R)$, that limitedly the decision of a problem of Navier-Stokes (1.1) - (1.3) with a condition (B) it is possible to prove limitation and in $W_{\lambda}^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)$ - weight space of type of Sobolev:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\|v\|_{W_{\lambda}^{2}}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{\tilde{W}_{\left(v_{i}, \lambda\right)}^{2}} \\
\tilde{W}_{\left(v_{i}, \lambda\right)}^{2}=\left\{(\xi, t) \in D_{0}: v_{i \xi^{k}}, v_{i t} \in L_{\lambda}^{2}\left(D_{0}\right), k=\overline{0,3}\right\} \\
\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{\tilde{W}_{\left(v_{i}, \lambda\right)}^{2}}=\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{3} \sup _{R} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \lambda(t)\left[v_{i \xi^{k}}(\xi, t)\right]^{2} d t+\right. \\
\left.+\sup _{R} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \lambda(t)\left|v_{i t}(\xi, t)\right|^{2} d \xi d t\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, i=\overline{1,3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

as $\tilde{V}(\xi, t) \in W_{\lambda}^{2}\left(D_{0}\right), \tilde{V}=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right)$.

## 4. Conclusions

I. From the received results follows that system Navier-Stokes (1.1) in the conditions of (1.2), (1.3), ( $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ )-( $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ) can have the analytical unique is conditional-s mooth decision. At least, such decision answers to a mathematical question, and possibility to construct the decision of a problem of Nav ier-Stokes (1.1)-(1.3) for an incompressible liquid with viscosity.
II. Results of the theorem 1 and 5 can be applied to a problem of Navier-Stokes of an incompressible fluid with viscosity, when $v \in R^{n}, x \in R^{n}, t \in R_{+}=[0, \infty)$.
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